

REMARKS

I. The Summary of the Office Action and Claim Status

The summary page of the office action indicated that claims 39, 41-48, and 62-75 were pending; that claims 66 and 67 were allowed; that claims 39, 41, 42, 65, and 73 were rejected, and that claims 43-48, 62-64, 68-72, 74, and 75 were objected to. The applicant agrees that the summary reflected the body of the office action and the status of the claims.

In response to the rejections of claims 39, 41, 42, 65, and 73, the applicant cancels these claims.

The examiner objected to claims 43-48, 62-64, 68-72, 74, and 75 stating that they “would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims”.

In response to the objections to claims 43-48, 62-64, 68-72, 74, and 75, the applicant hereby amends claims 43, 62-64, 68, 71, 72, 74, and 75 to be rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Therefore, claims 43, 62-64, 68, 71, 72, 74, and 75 as amended are now allowable.

In response to the objections of claims 44-48, they are now allowable via dependency on claim 43.

In response to the objections of claims 69 and 70, they are now allowable via dependency on claim 68.

The examiner indicated that claims 66 and 67 are allowed.

In view of the foregoing, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance,
which is requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

3/29/2007

/RichardNeifeld#35,299/

Date

Richard A. Neifeld

Registration No. 35,299

Attorney of Record

BTM/ran

March 29, 2007 (10:24am)

Y:\Clients\Hennings\HENN0014\HENN0014UPCT-US\Drafts\Response_HENN0014UPCT-US
_3-22-2007.wpd